

201

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8129-2000

Date of decision : 14.12.2017

Chander Bhan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Vide an order dated 06.09.2017, since learned counsel who had filed the petition had stated that the petitioner had taken away the brief of the case from him (as also recorded in the order dated 24.04.2017), notice of an actual date of hearing had been ordered to be sent to the petitioner, returnable today. However, as per the report of the Registry, the said order was missed by the dealing hand, as the case got “intermingled in the non-action taken cases”.

Though this Court undoubtedly had directed that notice of an actual date of hearing be issued to the petitioner, returnable today, on the last date of hearing, however it is seen that the petitioner himself having taken away the brief from his counsel and never having bothered to appear thereafter, even though the matter has been listed twice, there would be no reason to continue with the petition.

Consequently, it is dismissed for non prosecution, but with liberty to the petitioner to move an appropriate application if he still wishes to pursue the petition.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

December 14, 2017.

Davinder Kumar