

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -18001 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.05.2017

Paramvir Singh @ Bali Toor

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Gurmeet Singh.

None for respondent No.2.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No. 74 dated 14.04.2016, registered under Sections 323, 308, 458 read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines Patiala, District Patiala.

On 18.05.2017, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as a matter of fact, the matter has already been compromised between the petitioner and the complainant, i.e. respondent no.2, and in fact CRM-M-15217-2017, has also been filed by the petitioner and his co-accused seeking quashing of the FIR itself on the basis of the compromise reached.

Notice has already been issued in that petition, returnable on 11.07.2017, with the parties directed to appear before the trial Court on 25.05.2017 to record their statements, as can be seen from the order dated 03.05.2017

passed in that petition (Annexure P-7).

In view of the above, notice of motion be issued to the respondents.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

A copy of the petition be handed over to him immediately.

Mr. N.S. Gill, Advocate, appears and has filed his power of attorney on behalf of respondent no.2 and does not deny the factum of compromise.

Adjourned to 26.05.2017.

In the meanwhile, upon the petitioner appearing before the trial Court, he would be admitted to interim bail, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of that Court, till the next date of hearing before this Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of order dated 18.05.2017, the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and has furnished bail/surety bonds. The certified copy of order passed by the trial Court is taken on record as Mark 'A'. He further states the parties have entered into compromise and quashing petition bearing CRM-M No.15217-2017 has already been filed which is pending for 11.07.2017.

The learned State counsel does not dispute the above said fact.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 18.05.2017, is made absolute.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

26.05.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No