

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17993-2017

Date of Decision: 18.05.2017

Vikas Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, who are present in the court, are seeking protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8, who are stated to be the parents, the brothers and other immediate relative of petitioner No. 2, on account of the fact that they have married each other of their own free will, against the wishes of the said respondents on 16.05.2017. Photographs of what is stated to be a marriage ceremony have been annexed with the petition.

In support of proof of age of petitioner no.1, the identity card issued by the Unique Identification Authority of India (Aadhar Card) has been annexed with the petition, (the original of which has been produced in Court), showing his date of birth to be 15.06.1995, thus making him more than 21 years of age.

As regards petitioner No.2, a copy of her identity card issued by the Election Commission of India (Voter Card), has been annexed with the petition, (the original of which has been produced in Court), showing her date of birth as

On a specific query put to learned counsel for the petitioners, it has been stated that neither are the petitioners in any prohibited relationship to each other, nor has any of them been married earlier. He states that he has obtained specific instructions from the petitioners in that regard.

Consequently, since protection of life and liberty is a fundamental right of every citizen under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not put to any harm or threat at the hands of the aforesaid respondents or at their behest.

However, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to either the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status, or with regard to their age, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on proceedings initiated as per law.

May 18, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.