

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Crl. Misc. M 18894 of 2016  
Date of decision: 01.03.2017

*Bikramjit Singh*

*..... Petitioner*

*Versus*

*State of Punjab and another*

*.....Respondents*

**Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal**

-.-

Present: Mr. V K Sandhir, Advocate  
for the petitioner

Mr. Mikhail Kad, AAG, Punjab

None for respondent No. 2

-.-

**Rekha Mittal, J.**

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, 'Cr.P.C.'), the petitioner prays for quashing of FIR No. 20 dated 18.11.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A and 406 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC') registered with Women Police Station, Amritsar and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise recorded in proceedings under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the parties have mutually decided to resolve their personal differences and also decided to part ways.

Vide order dated 04.11.2016, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial

Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter.

Counsel for the State does not dispute genuineness of the compromise in view of report of the trial Court.

There is no representation on behalf of respondent No.2.

A perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '*Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another*', 2012(4) *R.C.R. (Criminal)* 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

In this view of the matter, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 20 dated 18.11.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC registered with Women Police Station, Amritsar and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise recorded in proceedings under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, stand quashed qua the petitioner. The parties shall remain bound by the terms and conditions of compromise.

(Rekha Mittal)  
Judge

01.03.2017  
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
whether reportable : Yes/No