

120

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17842-2017

Date of Decision: May 19, 2017

Sandeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jaspreet Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner would be satisfied at this stage, if the representation made by him to respondent No.2, i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Ambala, is directed to be disposed of within a stipulated period.

A copy of representation is shown to be Annexure P-2 with the petition.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of what has been contended in the petition or in the representation, the Superintendent of Police, Ambala, is directed to go into the matter and to take a decision as per law thereupon, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy this order.

The petition is disposed off.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 19, 2017*Sunil Devi*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No