

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.17735 of 2017
Date of Decision: 18.05.2017

RAM SINGH AND ANR

-PETITIONERS

VS

STATE OF UT, CHANDIGARH & OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. J.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that House No.1936 was constructed by the petitioners from their hard earned amount. Respondents No.4 to 7 are the family members of the petitioners who are instrumental in harassing and dispossessing the petitioners from the house in question.

Precisely for the grievance in question, petitioners have already moved a representation dated 25.04.2017 before respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajiv Sharma, APP, UT, Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this

Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take notice of pending representation and decide the same in accordance with law.

18.05.2017

jjyoti Y.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No