

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17690 of 2017
Date of Decision: 24.05.2017

Divyanshu Tandon and othersPetitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Sonepreet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms.Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Naresh Jain, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.140 dated 25.10.2016, for the offence under Sections 406, 498-A,377 IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell Jalandhar, Distt. Jalandhar, (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 29.03.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Both the parties are present in Court. They have stated that a compromise has been arrived between them.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 has put in appearance and has given the affidavit of respondent No.2 stating therein that the complainant/respondent No.2 has received two cheques bearing Nos.438092 and 438023 respectively of Rs.7 Lacs each (Total Rs.14,00,000/-) in terms of compromise dated 29.03.2017. It is further stated in the affidavit that

complainant/respondent No.2 has read over the contents of compromise as

the same are true and correct to her knowledge and belief and the same have been made without any pressure and duress.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (CrI.) 1052, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.140 dated 25.10.2016, for the offence under Sections 406, 498-A, 377 IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell Jalandhar, Distt. Jalandhar, (Annexure P-1) is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

24.05.2017
anil