

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17672-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.09.2017

MATHURA SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Kewal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. C.L. Pawar. Sr. DAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, petitioners No. 1 and 2 are the senior citizens and petitioner No. 3 is elder son of petitioners No. 1 and 2. They claim that respondents No. 4 and 5, who are the younger sons of petitioners No. 1 and 2 and are living with them are threatening them. It also comes out that respondents No. 4 and 5 have already filed a civil suit against the petitioners. The allegations of the petitioners are that the respondents No. 4 and 5 are harassing and threatening to kill them.

Learned State counsel has stated that the police is not taking any action against respondents No. 4 and 5 on the ground that it is a family dispute. I am of the view that even if it is a family dispute, petitioners cannot be assaulted by respondents No. 4 and 5.

In the circumstances, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana to protect the life and liberty of the

CRM-M-17672-2017 (O&M)

petitioners and ensure that no harm is caused to them. Petitioners No. 1 and 2 are always at liberty to approach the District Magistrate/Deputy Commissioner concerned seeking appropriate remedy under the appropriate Act against private respondents, if they so desired.

September 13, 2017
Suresh Kumar

[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE

		✓	
Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	/	No
			✓
Whether Reportable :	Yes	/	No