

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-17609 of 2017  
Date of decision: 02.06.2017**

Bhavna and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present:     None for the petitioners.

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**INDERJIT SINGH, J.**

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
Sub Division Fatehgarh Churian, Police District Batala, on behalf of  
respondent Nos.1 to 3 has been filed. Same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel states that the petitioners have given  
their statements to the police that they do not require any police protection.

Keeping in view the above said statement and the fact that none  
has appeared on behalf of the petitioners, this petition is dismissed for want  
of prosecution.

**June 02, 2017**

jasmine

**(INDERJIT SINGH)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned No  
Whether reportable                No