

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6626 of 1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision:08.11.2017

Jagbali Pandey

... Petitioner

Vs.

Indian Railway Construction Co. Ltd. (IRCON) and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mayur Kanwar, Advocate for respondent No.1.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

C.M. No.16051 of 2017

The application is allowed as prayed for. Speaking order dated 30.10.2017 (Annexure R-9) is taken on record.

Main case

Mr. Salil Bali, Advocate who has been representing the petitioner submits that petitioner has taken no objection from him. Petitioner is present in Court. He intends to engage Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate as on today and vakalatnama has not been filed by Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate on behalf of the petitioner.

With the consent of the parties, writ petition was heard.

In view of the speaking order dated 30.10.2017 (Annexure R-9) read with the prayer made in the civil writ petition to the extent that mandamus be issued for regularization, writ petition do not survive for consideration.

Accordingly, petition stands disposed of. Reserving liberty to

the petitioner to challenge speaking order dated 30.10.2017 (Annexure R-9).

08.11.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No