

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -17576 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.07.2017

Kuldeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Pranav Handa, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Jagdish Raj.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.27 dated 06.04.2017, registered under Sections 15, 18, 21, 22 & 29 of The Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at Police Station Bilga, District Jalandhar.

On 17.05.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Counsel contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. He is not involved in any other FIR.

Notice of motion for 05.07.2017.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice. A complete copy of the paper book has

been handed over to learned State counsel, in the Court.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3.That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

To be heard along with CRM-M-14172-2017.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 17.05.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 17.05.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

05.07.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No