

In the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh.

CRM-M-17549-2017

Date of decision: 26.05.2017

Raj Kamal

..... Petitioner.

vs.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. D.K.Tuteja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH.J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already put in two years of actual custody and even though he had absented himself on one occasion, he was subsequently arrested after only a period of one week; therefore, he would be entitled to bail at this stage.

Learned state counsel, on instructions from SI Rajbir, submits that there are three other cases pending against the petitioner.

Having considered the aforesaid and the fact that out of 29 witnesses, 15 have been examined, given the petitioners' past history and the earlier petition also having been dismissed, I see no ground to admit him to bail.

Dismissed.

However, considering the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for about two years now, the trial Court having already examined half the witnesses and the remaining witnesses stated to be official witnesses, i.e. police officials, that Court is directed to ensure the trial

be concluded within 05 months from today.

The Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, is also directed to ensure that all police officials as are to be examined as prosecution witnesses, appear before the trial Court as and when summoned, failing which an explanation shall be sought from the Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, why such witnesses are not appearing.

A copy of this order be given to the learned State counsel, under the signatures of the Special Secretary of this Court.

26.05.2017
smriti/db/rd

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No