

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-17530 of 2017

Date of Decision: May 22, 2017

Honey Sharma @ Honey

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner points to the fact that there is no mention in the FIR of any polythene bag in the hands of the petitioner, though in the consent memo obtained from the petitioner, whether he should be searched in the presence of a gazetted officer or a Magistrate, it is stated that he was carrying a polythene bag in his hands.

Without making any comment on the merits of the aforesaid contention raised, however, further also seeing the fact that the petitioner was earlier stated to be on bail prior to the receipt of the chemical examiners' report and had never misused the concession of bail, I deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail.

Consequently, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

May 22, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no