

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-1749 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.08.2017

Angrej Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab
assisted by HC Satnam Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 188 dated 17.10.2016, registered under Section 306 of IPC, at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

It is contended that the deceased in fact deserted the company of the petitioner in the year 2012. The deceased despite service did not appear before the competent Court in the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act. The same was decreed *exparte* in favour of the petitioner. He further states that initially the FIR was registered under Section 306 of IPC, however the petitioner was charge-sheeted for committing offence punishable under 304-B of IPC. The deceased died at her paternal home. The petitioner is in

custody since 20.12.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 20.12.2016; the investigation stands concluded; challan has been presented; out of total 22 Pws, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

28.08.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No