

**220 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-17476 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 22.05.2017.

Parveen Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Abhey Ram.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439
the Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in case FIR No.246 dated 15.09.2016
registered under Section 379-A IPC at Police Station Kunjpura, District
Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the
petitioner was apprehended in FIR No.342 of 2016 registered under
Section 379-A IPC at Police Station Madhuban and thereafter involved
in the present case. However, the petitioner stands acquitted in FIR
No.342 of 2016. Similarly, he has been acquitted in FIR No.935 of
2016 registered under Section 379-A read with Section 34 IPC at Police
Station Sadar, Karnal and FIR No.314 of 2016 registered under Section
379-A IPC at Police Station Madhuban, District Karnal. Similarly, in
the present case the petitioner has been falsely implicated.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application. It is informed that the complainant has been examined in the present case.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the complainant stands examined, the petitioner is in custody since 03.12.2016, in the other three FIRs of similar nature, he has been acquitted so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

22.05.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No