

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.1745 of 2017
Date of Decision: 02.02.2017**

Manpreet SinghPetitioner

Vs.

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mrs. Deepa Singh, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab

Mr. Kawaljit Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

Amol Rattan Singh, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, firstly, there is no specific role attributed to the petitioner, i.e. Manpreet Singh, other than him having given beatings to the injured, Resham Singh, and further, in the cross version registered at the instance of Narinder Singh, the complainant in the FIR, i.e. Resham Singh, has been attributed beatings to Narinder Singh and to the petitioner, Manpreet Singh, who is also stated to have received 6 injuries, including two on the head.

Mr. Kawaljit Singh, learned counsel appearing for the complainant, submits that, as a matter of fact, the petitioner and his co-accused came prepared for the attack on the complainant and in the attack, Narinder Singh is specifically attributed a 'datar' blow on the ear of the complainant, leading to it being severed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the

complainant in the FIR is also stated to have been wielding a baseball bat, which of course learned counsel for the complainant has denied.

Having considered the aforesaid and keeping in view the injuries inflicted upon the complainant in the FIR, with the petitioner and his co-accused shown to be armed with a 'datar' and a baseball bat, without making any comment either on the merits of the FIR or the cross version thereto, this petition is dismissed.

February 02, 2017

vcgarg

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes.

Whether reportable: no.