

**CRM-M-17440-2017 and
CRM-M-26919-2017**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:18.08.2017

1. CRM-M-17440-2017

Harjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-26919-2017

Sukhdial alias Ashok

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for
the petitioner in CRM-M-17440-2017.

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate for
the petitioner in CRM-M-26919-2017.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-17440-2017, filed by
petitioner-Harjit Singh and CRM-M-26919-2017, filed by petitioner-
Sukhdial alias Ashok, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal
Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.31 dated

**CRM-M-17440-2017 and
CRM-M-26919-2017**

19.07.2016, registered at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot, under Sections 302 and 34 IPC, now Section 302 IPC has been deleted and Section 306 IPC has been added.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel appeared and contested both these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the allegations, petitioner-Sukhdial alias Ashok took amount of ₹25,000/-, then ₹50,000/- and then ₹75,000/- from the father of the complainant and also raised the demand of ₹1,50,000/- for getting the loan sanctioned from the Bank Manager. So far as allegation against petitioner-Harjit Singh is concerned, he is stated to be Patwari and took ₹2,500/- from the complainant in the presence of his father and further made the demand of ₹75,000/- for getting the loan sanctioned.

Learned counsel for the petitioners stated that there is nothing on record to show any abetment to commit suicide.

Petitioners-Harjit Singh and Sukhdial alias Ashok have been in custody since 02.12.2016 and 20.02.2017, respectively. They are not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as they are in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioners in custody till the final disposal of the case.

**CRM-M-17440-2017 and
CRM-M-26919-2017**

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, both the criminal miscellaneous petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

18.08.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No