

**210-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-17359 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.06.2017.

Lovekesh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM :

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present :

Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 the Cr.P.C seeking regular bail in case FIR No.570 dated 16.09.2016 registered under Sections 420, 406, 506, 467, 468 and 471 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that out of the disputed amount of Rs.4,67,700/-, a sum of Rs.2,60,000/- has already been recovered from the co-accused. On 05.05.2017 co-accused Shanki Verma, made a statement before this Court to deposit the remaining amount subject to the outcome of the trial. It is asserted that the complainant has refused to accept the amount. However, said co-accused is still ready and willing to stand by the undertaking made before this Court. It is further asserted that neither the petitioner is named in the FIR nor has any entrustment been shown to be made to the petitioner.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that co-accused Shanki Verma, is ready and willing to deposit the remaining amount of Rs.2,10,000/-, the challan stands already presented, the petitioner is in custody since 18.12.2016, out of 15 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely concluded that the conclusion of trial will take a long time so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

01.06.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No