

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-17310 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION : 01.06.2017

Pooja and another

.... PETITIONERS

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present : Mr. Bikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

* * *

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Vinod Kumar, submits that the mother of petitioner no.1, i.e. respondent no.4, Sarso Devi, is present in the court, and is identified by the ASI. She has stated in court that she has no objection to the marriage of the petitioners with each other.

Consequently, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but with a direction to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to continue to ensure the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not put to any harm or threat at the hands of respondents no. 4 to 6, or at their behest.

However, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to either the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards

their previous marital status, or with regard to their age, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on proceedings initiated as per law.

June 01, 2017
ndj

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No