

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17308-2017
Date of Decision: 16.08.2017**

Nirmal Kaur & Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Ms. Archana, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. M. S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 67 dated 24.07.2015, registered under Section 498-A of the IPC, at Police Station Hariana, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 08.05.2017 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

The marriage of the complainant was solemnized on 26.12.2010 with petitioner No. 2-Narinder Singh. However, due to temperamental differences with the husband and his family members, matrimonial dispute arose and the aforesaid FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant/respondent No. 2-Rajni Kumari. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a

compromise. In fact, the complainant is now happily residing with the petitioners.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from JMIC, Hoshiarpur, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, admits to the factum of compromise and submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) RCR***

(Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 67 dated 24.07.2015, registered under Section 498-A of the IPC, at Police Station Haryana, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

August 16, 2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No