

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18203 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.03.2017

Lawrance Bishnoi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Gurbir Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Bhupinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.36 dated 05.03.2015, registered under Sections 307, 353, 186 read with Section 34 of IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar Fazilka.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case and the recovery has been foisted upon him. No injury has been caused by the present petitioner. The conclusion of trial will take a long time. The petitioner is in custody since 05.03.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the

bail application and states that the petitioner is a habitual offender and is involved in other 19 FIRs.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

As per record, the petitioner along with co-accused fired shots upon the police party while they were signaled to stop the car at a naka laid by the police party. The petitioner instead of stopping the car had tried to escape but was apprehended by the police and from him, a 38 bore revolver along with 25 live cartridges was recovered. Keeping in view the accusations and antecedents of the petitioner, no case for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

02.03.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No