

105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17272 of 2017

Date of decision: May 16, 2017

Ravi Kumar @ Rinku

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Ms. Rahish Pahwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued that there are change of circumstances for filing the present second anticipatory bail petition.

I have seen the earlier order dated 20.04.2017 made by this Court, by which the petitioner was allowed to withdraw the petition and not only that, this Court had granted liberty to the petitioner to apply for regular bail before the trial Court and further direction to the trial Court to decide such application, if filed.

In that view of the matter, the present second petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected and dismissed accordingly. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner wants to apply for regular bail before the trial Court. If such application is filed, the same shall be decided within 3 days from the date of its filing.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

May 16, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No