

216

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.17205 of 2017
Date of Decision: 26.05.2017**

Narender @ Bittu

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Hemant Bassi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.182 dated 10.05.2016 registered under Sections 302/34/120-B IPC and 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Sadar Hansi, District Hisar.

Brief facts of the case are that the complainant Virender @ Bholu lodged the FIR on the allegations that he along with Rajesh and Pardeep used to run country made liquor vends in villages Khumbha and Thurana. They are partners in the business. Complainant used to manage the liquor vend at village Khumbha, whereas Rajesh used to manage the liquor

vend at village Thurana. Pardeep used to come to the liquor vend at village Khumbha during day time and he used to maintain the accounts of both the liquor vends. On 09.05.2016 at about 7:45 PM, Rajesh came to the liquor vend at village Khumbha where the complainant and Sube Singh (salesman) were present. At about 8:15 PM, when Rajesh started his car in order to proceed to village Thurana, then two persons came on motorcycle and opened fire at Rajesh. Thereafter, both of them ran away. Rajesh was hospitalized at Hansi and thereafter, he was referred to Hisar where the doctor declared him to be brought dead.

Co-accused Naveen was implicated on the basis of alleged call details between him, deceased and Ajit Pehlwan. Naveen was granted regular bail by this Court on 05.04.2017. The allegations against the petitioner are that he was also in custody along with Ajit Pehlwan in the same jail where there was alleged meeting of mind in order to execute the offence in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is neither the beneficiary of two liquor vends, nor related to the business in any manner. Petitioner is not even related to co-accused Navdeep, nor to Ajit Pehlwan. The complicity of the petitioner in the context of his meeting with Ajit

Pehlwan in the jail and involvement in the conspiracy would remain arguable at the time of trial.

At this stage, I deem it appropriate to grant concession of regular bail to the petitioner on parity with co-accused Naveen who has been granted regular bail on 05.04.2017 by this Court.

In view of above, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

Disposed of.

May 26, 2017.

Prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**