

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17192 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.05.2017

Balwinder Singh @ Bhinda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Swapanh Shaorey, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.38 dated 25.02.2015, registered under Sections 302, 307, 326, 506, 323, 324, 212, 216 and 148 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station Gate Hakima, District Amritsar City.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The trial is not progressing as in another petition, the proceedings have been stayed. The petitioner is in custody since 25.02.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

This is the 3rd bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner. Vide order dated 09.09.2015, the first bail petition was dismissed as withdrawn and the second petition was dismissed on merits, by virtue of order dated 11.07.2016. The learned counsel for the petitioner has failed to indicate any effort made by him to get the stay order set aside. There is no fresh circumstance in favour of the petitioner. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to accept the prayer made in the present petition.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

26.05.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No