

**205-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-17189 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 06.07.2017.

Balwant Singh	Versus	... Petitioner
State of Punjab		... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhawna Gupta, DAG Punjab
assisted by ASI Avtar Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.220 dated
19.10.2016 registered under Sections 452, 341, 324, 323 and 326 read
with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Shahkot, Jalandhar.

On 30.05.2017 this Court had passed the following
order:-

“Learned counsel refers to Annexure P-3 i.e. MLR of the
petitioner to contend that the petitioner received as many as
six injuries including a grievous injury. The injuries on the
persons of Jagtar Singh and Harbans Kaur are on non vital
parts. After the investigation, Section 452 of the Indian Penal
Code stands deleted. It is a case of version and cross version
and one of the co-accused, namely, Vikramjeet Singh @
Vicky has been released on interim bail.

Notice of motion for 06.07.2017.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

To be heard along with CRM M-12099 of 2017.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 30.05.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the order dated 30.05.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioner to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

06.07.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No