

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17150 of 2017

Date of Decision: May 24, 2017

Sukhdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sukhjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurvir Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was allowed regular bail in this case by this Court vide orders dated 21.03.2017 Annexure P/1 and that it was by pure inadvertent clerical error the offence under Section 25 of the NDPS Act could not be mentioned and only Section 15 of the NDPS Act has been mentioned.

Learned State counsel has duly conceded that all the essential facts have been duly incorporated in the earlier order Annexure P/1 and that stand of the State is uniform qua the petitioner which was there at the time of initial decision of the bail of the petitioner. Thus, being a pure clerical error and that no prejudice is likely to be caused and as no fresh allegations have cropped up, the present petition stands allowed.

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 24, 2017
aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No