

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-17107 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.05.2017

Devinder @ Deva

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Bijender Dhankhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by HC Joginder Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 734 dated 10.11.2016, registered under Sections 147, 323, 365, 364-A, 342, 506 read with Section 149 of IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, Hisar, District Hisar.

It is contended that father of the complainant, namely Krishan Kumar is closely known to the petitioner. As per FIR, the petitioner went to the office of the victim and allegedly took him away at some unknown place. In fact, they had drinks together and the injuries on the person of the victim appear to be self suffered and are simple in nature. The petitioner is in custody since 27.12.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail

application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that that petitioner is in custody since 27.12.2016; the investigation stands concluded; challan has been presented; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

18.05.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No