

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(I) CRM No.M-17091 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: August 31, 2017

Surinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

AND

(II) CRM No.M-18935 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: August 31, 2017

Sanjiv Mehra alias Rahul

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Baldev Singh Sodhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner in both petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM No.M-17091 of 2017, filed by Surinder Kumar and CRM No.M-18935 of 2017 filed by Sanjiv Mehra alias Rahul, seeking anticipatory in case FIR No.33 dated 23.05.2012 under Section 420 of Indian Penal Code, Sections 365 and 364-A of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Bajakhana, District Faridkot.

Notice of motion was issued in these petitions. Learned State

counsel appeared and contested the instant petitions.

**CRM No.M-17091 of 2017 (O&M) and
CRM No.M-18935 of 2017 (O&M)**

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners could not appear before the learned trial Court due to certain reasons and seeks time to put in appearance before the learned trial Court in compliance of orders passed by this Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that vide order dated 15.05.2017, passed by this Court in CRM No.M-17091 of 2017, interim anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner with a specific condition to appear before the learned trial Court within a period of one week and vide order dated 25.05.2017, passed by this Court in CRM No.M-18935 of 2017, interim anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner with a specific condition to appear before the learned trial Court on or before 06.06.2017. However, the petitioners failed to comply with the aforesaid orders passed by this Court. Therefore, the petitioners are entitled to benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in these instant petitions, the same are dismissed.

August 31, 2017
rajesh k.khurana

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No