

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 10.10.2017

1. CRM-M-17978-2016

Gaurav Khanna and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

2. CRM-M-29229-2017

Rohit Khanna and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Ravinder Phogat, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

By this common order, this Court proposes to dispose of the above referred two petitions as both have arisen out of the same FIR No. 37 dated 08.04.2016, under Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Women, Rewari, District Rewari where in **CRM-M-17978-2016** petitioners Gaurav Khanna and another have sought grant of anticipatory bail and in the other connected **CRM-M-29229-2017**, the petitioners have sought quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

It has been contended therein that the petitioners were the brother-in-law and the father-in-law of the complainant in the aforesaid FIR. In the said proceedings, the matter was referred to mediation for amicable settlement of the dispute between the parties. The husband of the complainant was directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court along with the complainant. The matter was eventually settled by a settlement agreement dated 29.07.2016 and in terms of the said compromise agreement arrived at, a sum of ₹ 2,00,000/- was to be paid towards alimony covering her past, present and future maintenance allowance of the complainant. It was further agreed that a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act would be filed and a decree of divorce has been granted.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Keeping in view the fact that the settlement agreement arrived at between the parties and in terms of which a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage was filed, and a decree of divorce has been granted, it would be in the interest of the parties, if the petition is allowed and FIR No. 37 dated 08.04.2016, under Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Women, Rewari, District Rewari and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners. Ordered accordingly.

Further since the FIR in question itself has been quashed, no further orders are called for in the petition (**CRM-M-17978-2016**) for grant of anticipatory bail.

The petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

10.10.2017
Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes.
No.