

IOIN CR No. 537 of 1991 in/and
CR No. 537 of 1991

-1-

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

IOIN CR No. 537 of 1991 in/and
CR No. 537 of 1991
Date of Decision: 12.07.2017

GURMAIL SINGH ETC.

-PETITIONERS

VS

PB. STATE

-RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This case was burnt in the fire incident which took place in January, 2011. Thereafter, notices were issued to learned counsels for re-construction of the record.

Notice issued to the office of Mr. R.S. Ghai, Senior Advocate was received back with the endorsement that the case does not relate to his office.

Thereafter, notices were issued to Mr. Dinesh Ghai, Advocate, Mr. Chaman Lal Ghai, Advocate and Mr. Rajinder Ghai, Advocate with a request to re-construct the record of the civil revision. The intimation issued to Mr. Dinesh Ghai, Advocate was received by one Jai Pal from his office. Notice

issued to Mr. Chaman Lal Ghai, Advocate was also received by Jai Pal c/o Mr. Dinesh Ghai on 16.05.2014. Office of Mr. Rajinder Ghai, Advocate endorsed that the case does not pertain to his office.

The case is pending for re-construction of the record since 2014. Number of orders have been passed on record. Even the intimation sent by the office to District Judge, Ferozepur has not yielded any positive result as per order dated 20.11.2014 passed by this Court.

It would be futile to keep this case pending for so long.

Notice issued to the office of Mr. Bipan Ghai, Senior Advocate has also received back with the endorsement that the case does not belong to his office.

In view of aforesaid circumstances, I deem it appropriate to dismiss this case for non-prosecution giving liberty to the parties to re-construct the same and thereafter, file an appropriate application for revival of the same.

12.07.2017
jjyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No