

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.17037 of 2017
Date of Decision: 12.05.2017

GURJIT SINGH & OTHERS

-PETITIONERS

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Rajni Maurya, Advocate and
Mr. Vinod K. Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions to respondent No.2 in the context of protecting their lives and personal liberties from being invaded by respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that respondents No.4 and 5 were prosecuted in terms of FIR No.112 dated 17.06.2007 registered under Sections 341, 323, 325 and 34 IPC at Police Station Majhitha and they were convicted and sentenced for one year. However, in appeal, they were granted benefit of probation vide order dated 30.08.2014. After the aforesaid decision, respondents No.4 and 5 are involved in threatening the petitioners of dire consequences.

Precisely for the grievance in question, petitioners

have already moved representation to respondent No.2 on 10.02.2016 (wrongly recorded as 10.02.2016). According to learned counsel for the petitioners, this representation was moved on 06.05.2017.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, in view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any reply to the petition as no order prejudicial to interest of any of the party is being passed.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners in the light of representation made by them and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

12.05.2017
jyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No