

220-4

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16991-2014

Date of decision : April 24, 2017

Vinod Sharma and others

... Petitioners

VERSUS

Jyoti Sharma

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.JEYAPPAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

Present : Petitioner No.1 in person.

Mr. Sanjay Kaushal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Amanpal S.Mann, Advocate
for the respondent.

M.JEYAPPAUL, J.(ORAL)

Vinod Sharma and four others have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing the criminal complaint No.105 of 2013, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 307, 506 and 120-B IPC and all the consequent proceedings arisen therefrom.

Both the parties have amicably compromised their matrimonial dispute. They filed a joint petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act. Their statements in first motion and second motion had been recorded in terms of the compromise entered into between them. A decree of divorce by mutual consent was passed by us today.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent Jyoti Sharma on instructions from the respondent who is present today, submits that she has no objection to the quashing of the above proceedings.

In view of the above development in the matrimonial dispute that has arisen between the parties, we are pleased to quash the complaint No.105 of 2013, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 307, 506 and 120-B IPC and all the consequent proceedings arisen therefrom.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

April 24, 2017

anju

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No