

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-16983 of 2017

Date of Decision: May 12, 2017

Pallavi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Satish Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though petitioner no.2 had married earlier, inadvertently it has been stated in paragraph 8 of the petition that neither are the petitioners in any prohibited relationship to each other, nor has any of them been married earlier.

Therefore, he seeks withdrawal of the petition with liberty to file a fresh one with better particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

May 12, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no