

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-16890 OF 2015
DATE OF DECISION : 14th MARCH, 2017

Isriel

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate for
 Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Rule heard forthwith with consent of counsel for the rival parties.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

There is challenge to order dated 16.03.2013 passed by the learned D/JMIC, Gurgaon (Annexure P-1) by which the petitioner was declared proclaimed offender in FIR No.556, dated 03.08.2011 registered under Sections 392 & 120-B IPC in Police Station Gurgaon City, District Gurgaon.

It is true that the offence committed by the petitioner is serious namely robbery and snatching of the truck, learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that other accused persons were put on trial and have been acquitted by now.

Be that as it may, since the petitioner wants to face the trial before the trial Court and there is no other criminal antecedent against the petitioner, I am inclined to pass the following order:

ORDER

- (i) Rule is made absolute in terms of the prayer.
- (ii) Impugned order dated 16.03.2013 passed by the learned D/JMIC, Gurgaon (Annexure P-1), is set aside.
- (iii) The petitioner is granted anticipatory bail in FIR No.556, dated 03.08.2011 registered under Sections 392 & 120-B IPC in Police Station Gurgaon City, District Gurgaon, on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.
- (iv) The petitioner would continue to appear before the trial Court with promptitude till the completion of trial.
- (v) Any further default would be viewed seriously by the trial Court.

Disposed of accordingly.

14th March, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE