

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.16945 of 2017
Date of Decision: 12.05.2017**

Preeti Sharma and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of the parents of petitioner No.1 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 11. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 08.05.2017 (Annexure P-5) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, UT, Chandigarh, but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, UT, Chandigarh (respondent No.2), to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 08.05.2017 (Annexure P-5) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on

the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners or protect them from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 12, 2017
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No