

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Date of decision:12.5.2017

Raju Singh ... Petitioner
versus
State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Aminder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on specific query, has fairly stated that the car that was apprehended, stated to be carrying liquor in violation of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914, belongs to the petitioner.

He however submits that, in any case, the entire quantity of liquor having already been recovered and the car stated to have been taken in custody, no custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required.

Whereas that may be a fact, however, with the allegation that the petitioner was also the driver of the car at that point of time, and had run away from the spot, I see no ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail. The petition is consequently dismissed.

However, nothing stated herein above will be taken to be any comment on the merits of the case and further, if upon the petitioner surrendering before the trial Court he presents an application for 'regular bail', that would be decided by that Court on its own merits.

12.5.2017

pk

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No