

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1686-2017

Date of decision : 27.01.2017

Pala Singh @ Kirpal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.S.S.Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is similarly situated to one co-accused, Arshad, inasmuch as both the petitioner and the aforesaid Arshad are stated to have run away from the spot from where the contraband is stated to have been recovered.

He points to the fact that the aforesaid Arshad has been acquitted by this Court in criminal appeal No.S-1869-SB of 2011, decided on 04.07.2013 (Annexure P3).

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajesh, submits that the petitioner was earlier declared a proclaimed offender and that he has 17 other cases registered against him.

However, on specific query, learned State counsel has submitted that he cannot deny the fact that the role attributed to the petitioner is otherwise shown to be the same as that of Arshad.

Hence in the aforesaid circumstances, in the opinion of this Court, the petitioner deserves to be admitted to bail in the present case, upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court and complying with all other conditions as would be laid down by that Court.

Disposed of.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 27, 2017.
Davinder Kumar/dinesh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No