

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-16809 of 2017****Date of decision: September 06, 2017**

Baljinder Singh @ Sett

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**Present:** Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.28 dated 22.04.2017, under Sections 61(1) of Punjab Excise Act, 1914, registered at Police Station Kot Dharmu, District Mansa, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 12.05.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official states that the amount has been deposited by the petitioner and he has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 12.05.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE****September 06, 2017**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No