Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2017.02.17 16:27
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 8337 of 1996 (O/M)
Date of decision : 17.2.2017

Tek Chand ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Naveen Sheoran, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The prayer of the petitioner was to fix his pay at par with the junior and release of further consequential benefits of normal increments and revision of pay and pension.

Today, the learned State counsel has placed on file the copy of the letter dated 25.3.1997, vide which the pay of the petitioner has been re-fixed at par with the junior Shri Ram Kumar, DSP (Retired) with effect from 5.11.1992, which is taken on record.

It being so, the main part of the relief has been satisfied. Since the pay has been re-fixed, the consequential increments and revision of pay and pension is to follow. Since it is not known whether the same was done or not, the present writ petition is disposed of with an observation that if consequential increments and revision of pay and pension has not been done, the same be done and arrears of the same be released to the petitioner, as per the Government instructions.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.2.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No