

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-16725-2017
Date of Decision: 08.09.2017**

Gianwati & Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & Another

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. J. P. Jangu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 2/complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the event of arrest in FIR No. 208 dated 08.04.2017, under Sections 323, 34, 406, 498-A and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Khedki Daula, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case and on the direction of this Court, they have joined the investigation. It is also contended that nothing is to be recovered from them.

Learned Assistant A.G., Haryana, appearing for the respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, submits that petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for the custodial

interrogation.

In view of the facts that the petitioners are not required for custodial interrogation and have joined the investigation, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and order dated 12.05.2017, granting interim bail to the petitioners, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438, Sub Section (2) Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

08.09.2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No