

218

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 1666 of 2017

Date of Decision: 30.05.2017

Suresh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Respondent No. 2 was granted bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, vide order dated 13.10.2016. Asish was suffering from viral fever and he was allegedly treated by respondent No. 2 and thereafter, he was hospitalized in Sarvodaya Hospital, from where he allegedly left against the

medical advice.

The Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, while granting bail has observed that respondent No. 2 cannot be held responsible for death of Asish.

To that extent, I am of the view that no such finding can be given by the Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, at the stage of granting bail.

In my considered opinion, the concession of bail granted to respondent No. 2 cannot be cancelled at this stage on the grounds as projected by learned counsel for the petitioner in this petition.

In view of above, the petition stands dismissed.

May 30, 2017

Apurva

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No