

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-16615 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.05.2017

Anoop Khullar

--Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. M.K. Dhot, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking directions to the official respondents no.2 to 4 to decide an application dated 7.4.2017 (Annexure P-1), which is stated to have been submitted by the petitioner.

The application dated 7.4.2017 (Annexure P-1) has been perused. The case set up on behalf of the petitioner is that a complaint had been filed by one Vikram Batta against the petitioner with regard to certain fake and forged documents allegedly having been submitted by the present petitioner as regards date of birth of his son namely Master Peeyush Khullar.

Counsel argues that taking advantage of such complaint, respondent no.4 i.e. S.H.O, Police Station, Sector 26, Chandigarh is harassing the petitioner and is pressurizing him to compromise certain property dispute that are between the petitioner and Vikram Batta.

The averments made in the petition are utterly vague. The plea of malafide and harassment against respondent no.4 is not supported by any document/material.

On the basis of the pleadings on record no such inference as is being pleaded and argued by the counsel, can be drawn.

Faced and confronted with a situation whereby this Court was not inclined to interfere in the matter, counsel seeks withdrawal of the instant petition.

Prayer is allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

11.05.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No