

**256/4 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(1) CRM-M 17481 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.07.2017

Amrita and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

(1I) CRM-M 18522 of 2016 (O&M)

Charanjit Singh Oberoi and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

(1II) CRM-M 37677 of 2016 (O&M)

Amrita and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

(1V) CRM-M 37679 of 2016 (O&M)

Charanjit Singh Oberoi and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. B.S.Rana, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Yogesh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. L.M.Gulati, Advocate for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of above mentioned four petitions as they arise from the same FIR.

Prayer is under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.38 dated 24.02.2016 for offences punishable under Sections 420, 506 and 120-B IPC and later on added

offences under Sections 465, 467, 468, 471, 201 IPC registered at Police Station Division No.7, Vardhman, Ludhiana.

Vide order dated 19.05.2016, the arrest of the petitioners was stayed who have joined investigation.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners has referred to a report dated 12.06.2017 prepared by ADCP, Ludhiana, vide which, the petitioners have been found to be innocent and a cancellation report qua the FIR has been prepared. This fact is not disputed by learned State counsel, on instruction from ASI Mohd. Hafiz

However, learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant has opposed the bail on the ground that he will contest the cancellation report.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, the order dated 19.05.2016 is made absolute. However, it will be open for the complainant to move an application for cancellation of bail, in case at any subsequent stage, the cancellation report is not accepted by the Court or any other incriminatory evidence come on record.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

24.07.2017
Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No