

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-16566 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 31, 2017

Sonu Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Manmeet Singh Rana, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. H.S. Sullar, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 10.05.2017, both, learned counsel for the respective States, (Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana and Mr. H.S. Sullar, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh), submit that statements of the petitioners have been recorded to the effect that they no longer require any protection.

In addition to above, Mr. Chopra, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jai Singh, submits that statements of respondents no.6 to 8 have also been recorded by the police of Police Station Sadar, Fatehabad, to the effect that they would not interfere in the lives and liberty of the petitioners.

Mr. Chopra further submits that the police has also verified the

age of the petitioners and both the petitioners are shown to be of the age of majority as per their educational qualification certificates which he has produced in Court today.

However, learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, submits that they are still apprehensive with regard to whether in future also respondents no.6 to 8 would abide by their undertaking given to the police.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents no.2 and 3 to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners, as also of the private respondents, continue to be protected, within the four corners of law.

However, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to either the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status, or with regard to their age, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on any proceedings initiated by a competent person as per law.

May 31, 2017
vcgarg

(**Amol Rattan Singh**)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No