

**CRM-M-16554-2017 (O & M) and
CRM-M-20041-2017 (O & M)**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 23.08.2017

1. CRM-M-16554-2017 (O & M)

Mohan Lal and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-20041-2017 (O & M)

Vishnu and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Guninder Singh Brar, Advocate for
Mr. Amrainder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners (in both the petitions).

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate for
Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-16554-2017, filed by petitioners-Mohan Lal and Bharat Pal and CRM-M-20041-2017, filed by petitioners-Vishnu, Prahlad, Chander Pal and Shyam, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.204 dated 23.10.2015, registered at Police

**CRM-M-16554-2017 (O & M) and
CRM-M-20041-2017 (O & M)**

Station Hassanpur, District Palwal, under Sections 323, 307, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested both these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the petitioners have been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

In pursuance of the interim orders dated 12.05.2017 passed in CRM-M-16554-2017 and 01.06.2017 passed in CRM-M-20041-2017 by this Court, the petitioners have already surrendered before the trial Court and have been released on interim bail by learned trial Court. Now, they have only to face the trial. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find merit in both these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 12.05.2017 passed in CRM-M-16554-2017 and 01.06.2017 passed in CRM-M-20041-2017 by this Court, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute.

23.08.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No