

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-1654 of 2017

Date of Decision: April 24, 2017

Kamlesh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Contrary to even the contention made on the last date of hearing to the effect that the petitioner was 70 years old, as per the photocopy of the pension card produced in Court today, stated to have been issued even by the Army Authorities to the petitioner for family pension, her date of birth being 17.01.1960, she is 56/57 years old and not 70 years.

Obviously, the contention to the contrary is wholly incorrect and should not have been made.

Be that as it may, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Director General of Prisons, Haryana, Panchkula (respondent no.2), to consider the representation /application dated 10.11.2016, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-1 with the petition and to pass a speaking order thereafter within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

April 24, 2017
vegarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/no
Whether reportable: Yes/no