

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-16537-2017
Date of decision: 04.08.2017**

Guneet Singh Kalsi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Antother

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Jaswant Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. M. S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Narender Singh Kamboj, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 25 dated 20.09.2016, registered under Section 498-A of the IPC, at Women Police Station, District Mohali and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 01.05.2017 (**Annexure P-2**) entered into between the parties.

The marriage of the complainant was solemnized on 08.03.2015 with petitioner No. 1-Guneet Singh Kalsi, as per Sikh rites and rituals. However, due to temperamental differences between the husband and wife, matrimonial dispute arose and the aforesaid FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant/respondent No. 2-Simran Kaur. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and they have entered into a

compromise.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from SDJM, Dera Bassi, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one.

It is also informed that as per the terms of the compromise, the balance amount of Rs. 3,00,000/- has been given to the complainant by way of demand draft dated 13.07.2017 bearing No. 060203 which fact is not disputed by the counsel for respondent No. 2. A copy of the said demand draft is also retained on the case file.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for respondent No. 2-complainant admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that

both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2012(4) RCR (Cr.) 543*, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 25 dated 20.09.2016, registered under Section 498-A of the IPC, at Women Police Station, District Mohali and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

August 04, 2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No