

CWP No.5001 of 1998

The Tarn Taran Cooperative Sugar Mills Ltd.

Vs.

The P.O., Labour Court, Amritsar and anr.

Present: None for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.2.

* * * *

As per office report, learned counsel for the petitioner has been informed through E-mail but none has come present.

Perusal of the file shows that vide impugned order, respondent No.2 was ordered to be reinstated with continuity of service. No stay was granted by the Hon'ble High Court though application for stay was filed twice on behalf of the petitioner. Hence, we are of the opinion that impugned award must have been implemented by now and the present petition must have become infructuous. However, as nobody is appearing on behalf of the parties, the present writ petition is ordered to be consigned to the record room with liberty to the parties to get it revived, if so desire.

(R.K.Nehru)
President

(Ram Chand Gupta)
Member

27.04.2017
P.Seth