

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.16450 of 2017

Date of decision : 16.05.2017

Amit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vikas Chopra, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeking 'regular bail' to the petitioner in case FIR No.42 dated 20.02.2017, registered at Police Station Beri, District Jhajjar, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 307, 386 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner never having been named in the FIR, there is nothing actually to connect him to the occurrence.

Learned State counsel, upon seeing the police file brought by HC Narender, submits that, as a matter of fact, the complainant himself on the next day made a statement to the effect that though he could not name the petitioner and his co-accused on the previous day on account of the fact that he had received a fire arm injury and was not in a fit state of mind, he specifically, thereafter, named him.

Consequently, in view of what has been stated herein-above, but without making any comment upon the actual merits of the contentions raised on either side, which would obviously be gone into by the learned trial Court, I do not consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail, at this stage.

Dismissed, at this stage.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

16.05.2017
adhikari