

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

271

CRL. MISC. No.M-1643 OF 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 22nd FEBRUARY, 2017

Sukhwinder Singh @ Bittu and others

.... **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.... **Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. K.P.S. Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Daljeet Singh Virk, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J. C. Kaushal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.52 dated 24.05.2015 registered under Sections 201, 323, 341, 506 & 34 IPC at Police Station Ph-II, District S.A.S. Nagar Mohali in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2/complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 20.01.2017 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the

offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-1643 OF 2017 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.52 dated 24.05.2015 registered under Sections 201, 323, 341, 506 & 34 IPC at Police Station Ph-II, District S.A.S. Nagar Mohali, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings, qua the petitioners.

22nd February, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.